

Address for communication:

**The Revd Dr Michael Wright
International Observatory on End of Life Care
Institute for Health Research
Lancaster University
Lancaster LA1 4YT
United Kingdom**

Email: m.c.wright@lancaster.ac.uk

Contents

Tables

Executive summary	i
Introduction: aim, objectives and methods of the review	1
Results	5
Donor activity	
Indicative examples by type of organisation	8
Multilateral	8
Bilateral	9
Humanitarian	12
Faith-based	16
Business	17
Hospice support groups	18
International/ national associations	20
Discussion	22
Conclusions and recommendations	24
Directory of Funding Organisations	25
All regions	25
Africa	34
Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States	38
Latin America	42
Middle East	43
Central, South and East Asia	44
India	46
China	47
References	48

Tables

Table 1 Information requested from donors identified in the scoping exercise	3
Table 2 Inclusion/ exclusion criteria	4
Table 3 Limitations to the project	5
Table 4 Typology of donor organisations	6
Table 5 Total palliative care donors by type	7
Table 6 Palliative care donors by region of activity	7
Table 7 Global Fund grants awarded to proposals that include palliative care: indicative examples	9
Table 8 Palliative care initiatives among PEPFAR prime partners	11
Table 9 Funding activity: the International Palliative Care Initiative	13
Table 10 Funding activity: the Diana, Princess of Wales Memorial Fund	14
Table 11 Funding activity (2006-7): Hospice Care Kenya	18
Table 12 Hospice of Hope Romania: Income 2005 and 2006	19
Table 13 Funding activity: Help the Hospices	20

Executive Summary

Although some palliative care funders are well known and have a relatively high profile, many are not. Consequently, those seeking to promote and sustain palliative care initiatives lack a rigorous guide to funding bodies, together with information about their criteria for support and terms of reference. A growing demand for information from the philanthropic community, governmental policy makers, multilateral agencies and non-governmental organisations has created the need for an informed overview of the current state of global palliative care funding. This report provides the first review of such funding from all sectors of philanthropy including private and community foundations, public charities and corporate grant making.

Aim, Objectives and Method

The overarching aim of this project is to identify national and international donors that support hospice and palliative care activities in Africa; Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States; Central, South and East Asia; Latin America and the Caribbean; and the Middle East.

Our objectives are:

- 1) To conduct a scoping exercise and develop an initial analysis of palliative care donors worldwide.
- 2) To undertake an electronic survey of identified donors to determine items such as funding range, programme area, disease category and bidding process.
- 3) To enhance the list of identified donors by a search of electronic and paper sources.
- 4) To construct a directory of identified funders in Africa; Central, Southern and Eastern Asia; Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States; Latin America and Caribbean; and the Middle East.

A mixed-method design was adopted which included: a scoping exercise and global survey of 'key informants'; a synthesis of evidence from electronic databases, published and grey literature, hospice newsletters and an EAPC Task Force; an electronic survey of identified donors.

Results

We found 354 donor organisations are active in our five target regions.

As we analysed the organisations’ similarities and differences, an 8-category typology emerged which provides a short-hand guide to their nature and composition. Among a group such as this, overlapping features are inevitable, especially when each body is involved in a similar activity: the donation of funds to support palliative care development. Nevertheless, we consider there are significant differences between these groups and the draft typology helps illuminate the activity on the ground.

The donors are grouped under the following categories and definitions:

- 1) ‘Multilateral’: a body which incorporates the governments of multiple countries working together.
- 2) ‘Bilateral’: a national government that partners with another country.
- 3) ‘Humanitarian’: an organisation that promotes human welfare and alleviates suffering.
- 4) ‘Faith-based’: a body prompted to action by an obligation to its religious or spiritual tenets.
- 5) ‘Business’: an organisation engaged in commerce, manufacturing or a service designed to generate profit.
- 6) ‘Hospice support’: an existing hospice organisation that provides funding to a developing hospice or an organisation specifically founded to fulfil such a purpose.

Typology of donor organisations, with examples

Organisation	Examples
1 Multilateral	World Bank, United Nations
2 Bilateral	United States Agency for International Development Irish AID
3 Humanitarian	Li Ka Shing Foundation Red Cross
4 Faith-based	American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee Scottish Catholic International Aid Fund
5 Business	AngloGold Ashanti Rolls Royce India
6 Hospice Support	Friends of Swaziland Hospice St Petersburg Healthcare Trust
7 Association (Nat/ Intl)	International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care European Society of Medical Oncology
8 Other	European School of Oncology North South Development Trust

- 7) ‘Association’: an organised group or society that is engaged in the promotion and development of palliative care at the national and/or international level.
- 8) ‘Other’: donors that either fall outside of categories 1 to 7 or about which we have insufficient information to categorise them at present.

Among the eight categories of donors, the Humanitarian group is the largest with 124 (35%) organisations whereas the Multilateral group is the smallest with 9 (3%).

Total palliative care donors by type

Organisation	N	%
1 Multilateral	9	3
2 Bilateral	32	9
3 Humanitarian	124	35
4 Faith-based	71	20
5 Business	53	15
6 Hospice Support	33	9
7 Association (Int/ Nat)	22	6
8 Other	10	3
Total	354	100

An analysis of each group’s area of activity shows that most donor organisations are active in CEE/ CIS (157; 44%) followed next by Africa (141; 40%). Only 22 (6%) are active in Latin America and the Caribbean and 19 (5%) in the Middle East.

Palliative care donors by region of activity

Organisation	Region					Country	
	CEE/CIS	Africa	CSE Asia	Lat America +Caribbean	Middle East	India	China
1 Multilateral	6	6	3	1	1	0	0
2 Bilateral	14	19	4	4	2	1	0
3 Humanitarian	52	54	30	4	4	14	3
4 Faith-based	29	27	16	4	3	9	0
5 Business	18	21	12	3	2	12	0
6 Hospice Support	17	9	5	0	2	2	1
7 Association (Int/ Nat)	14	5	5	4	5	4	1
8 Other	7	0	1	2	0	1	0
Total	157	141	76	22	19	43	5
% donors (n=354)	44%	40%	21%	6%	5%	12%	1%

Conclusions and recommendations

The number of donors and their areas of activity indicate that, around the world, many palliative care developments are dependent on third party funding. Yet while funding initiatives are occurring globally, in disparate regions, these are disproportionate and mostly concentrated in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States, and Africa.

Against a background of perceived reticence on the part of some donors and grant-recipients to divulge funding information, and in the absence of a global register, there is a lack of knowledge about the number and type of palliative care donors, the regions where they operate and the priorities they address. Greater understanding of the variety of donors and their areas of interest may lead to a more strategic approach to palliative care development on the part of both donors and grant-seekers, especially in resource poor regions of the world.

We recommend:

- 1) The development and maintenance of a global register of international hospice and palliative care donors.
- 2) An awareness-raising campaign to focus attention on worldwide need and the disproportionate activity of donors.
- 3) The distribution of a position paper to help advocate for funding.
- 4) An accessible explanation of palliative care and 'glossary of terms' for funders.
- 5) A more detailed review of funding activity and palliative care development in China.
- 6) Further in-depth study of the hospice and palliative care donors globally, including in-depth interviews with key figures to test out and explore some of the issues identified in this report.

Introduction

Against the backdrop of a growing and ageing global population, around 10 million new patients with cancer die within a year of their diagnosis and three million people each year die from AIDS. And of the 58 million who die annually around the world, an estimated 60 per cent would benefit from palliative care.¹ Consequently, governments are beginning to acknowledge the drive for universal access to hospice palliative care services.

With just a few exceptions, palliative care provision in developing countries is not incorporated into the national health care system and continues to remain inadequately resourced and relatively limited in its impact. In some cases, this may partly be due to a lack of political will. But in resource poor settings, the levels of funding needed to cover essential palliative care services are usually beyond a country's means. As a result, there is sparse allocation of public funds or institutional resources and often no reimbursement through health insurance programmes² - which means that non-governmental organisations (NGOs) and hard-pressed individuals and groups within public services may be the key drivers of development and change. Importantly, these providers are often dependent on funds from a variety of external sources to catalyse support for palliative care programmes, new initiatives and campaigns.

There are now a number of collaborative networks that make significant contributions to the development and sustainability of palliative care across many of the world's regions. Against this background, some palliative care donors are well known and have a relatively high profile, but many are not. As a result, those seeking to promote and sustain palliative care initiatives lack a rigorous guide to funding bodies, information about their terms of reference and criteria for support. A growing demand for information from the philanthropic community, governmental policy makers, multilateral agencies and non-governmental organisations, has created the need for an informed overview of global palliative care funding. This report provides the first review of such funding from all sectors of philanthropy, including private and community foundations, public charities and corporate grant making.

This study grew out of a conference, held in Washington DC during April 2006, which was designed to increase funding and funding collaborations for palliative care activities in low to middle income countries. Around 40 invited participants attended from US government organisations and professional and charitable bodies which are active internationally in the fields of cancer and HIV/AIDS. Titled *Advancing Collaboration and Synergy for Palliative Care in Cancer and AIDS in Resource-Poor Countries*, the meeting was co-sponsored by the Office of International Affairs of the National Cancer Institute (NCI) and the Open Society Institute (OSI) International Palliative Care Initiative (IPCI).

Among the issues to emerge from the Washington conference was the inadequacy of palliative care funding in developing countries, the need for more funds to be urgently committed to these countries and clarification of the donor's role. In addition, the Identification of national and international funders and an indication of where such

fundraising activities were also required. This study was commissioned by the Open Society Institute to provide information and help meet these requests.

Aim and objectives

The overarching aim of this project is to identify national and international donors that support hospice and palliative care activities in: Africa; Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States; Central, South and East Asia; Latin America and the Caribbean; and the Middle East.

Our objectives are:

- 1) To conduct a scoping exercise and develop an initial analysis of palliative care donors worldwide.
- 2) To undertake an electronic survey of identified donors to determine items such as funding range, programme area, disease category and bidding process.
- 3) To enhance the list of identified donors by a search of electronic and paper sources.
- 4) To construct a directory of identified funders in Africa; Central, Southern and Eastern Asia; Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States; Latin America and Caribbean; and the Middle East.

Methods

A mixed-method design was adopted which included: a scoping exercise and global survey of 'key informants'; a synthesis of evidence from electronic databases, published and grey literature, hospice newsletters and an EAPC Task Force; an electronic survey of identified donors.

A search of publications and records produced a list of 701 palliative care activists who belong to hospice organisations in our focus regions. These were designated 'key informants'. An email was sent to each informant requesting details of known palliative care donors who were associated with that country or region. One hundred and thirty responses were received, a response rate of 18%, which produced a list of 272 donors.

A questionnaire was constructed, designed to elicit wide-ranging information such as the donor's contact details, funding range and programme areas (Table 1). Once completed, an electronic survey was conducted of the 272 donors identified in the scoping exercise. A total of 19 (7%) responses were received, just 12 (4%) of them comprehensive.

Table 1. Information requested from donors identified in the scoping exercise

General details	Name of organisation, name of the director, contact details, web site, countries/regions where the organisation is active
Funding range	Average size and duration of grant, eligibility criteria and selection process relating to grantees
Programme area	Service development, education, fellowships, travel grants, translation
Disease category	Cancer, HIV/AIDS, Parkinson's Disease, lung disease, heart disease
Population focus	Paediatrics, geriatrics, adolescents, adults
Bidding process	On announcement/ annually/ twice yearly
Evaluation procedure	Including: the impact of funding, monitoring processes, future funding plans and commitments

Meanwhile, a bibliographic search of electronic and paper sources was undertaken to identify possible donors who might have been missed during the scoping exercise. This search included:

- Electronic databases (such as Medline, CINAHL and ASSIA)
- Academic, national, local and specialist library collections (including Lancaster University; the Halley Stewart Library and the University of North Florida Library).
- Key academic journals - hand and electronically searched (such as the Journal of Palliative Medicine, European Journal of Palliative Care, International Journal of Palliative Nursing, Mortality)
- A wide variety of hospice newsletters (Hospice Information, International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care, CEE/FSU Palliative Care Monthly E-mail Newsletter).
- The International Observatory on End-of-life Care (IOELC) archives, library, regional reviews and country reports.
- A wide range of internet sources.

This search enabled us to identify 1,496 donors that might possibly provide funding for palliative care initiatives around the world. These donors were subjected to the project's inclusion/ exclusion criteria, drawn up to provide a transparent means of determining each donor's relevance to the project (see Table 2). This produced a final list of 354 donor organisations active in our target regions.

These donors include:

- Organisations that *raise* funds which are disbursed to partner groups for further distribution or the implementation of programmes (such as the Global Fund).
- Organisations which *receive* such funds and then pass them on to other groups for programme implementation (such as the National Treasury of the Republic of South Africa).

Table 2. Inclusion/ exclusion criteria

Include	Exclude
Data written in English	Data not written in English
Organisations	Individuals
Organisations that provide funding for palliative treatment and care	Organisations that provide funding for non-palliative treatment and care
Funders of palliative care for people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA)	Funders of other forms of treatment and care for people living with HIV/AIDS (PLWHA)
Palliative care funders and donors in target regions	Palliative care funders and donors outside target regions
Consultant organisations and partners of primary funders that provide palliative care in target regions	Consultant organisations and partners of primary funders that provide other forms of treatment/care in target regions

Limitations

We wish to acknowledge that this is the first review of its kind and should be viewed as a work in progress. We declare that the study did not set out to assess the effectiveness of the work carried out by the donors, nor to comment on the role they play as third party givers. Also, despite our best efforts, there may be donors active in our target regions that we have not identified or which have been wrongly categorised in our typology. We invite discussion and clarification on the issues raised by this report as well as the information contained in it. A list of the study's limitations is given in Table 3.

Table 3. Limitations to the project

Item	Limitations
Size of organisation	Reports on philanthropy often focus on the grants of large foundations - smaller donors may be under-represented.
Lack of information	Some donors are well known and have extensive websites – others are little known with no website or e-mail address.
Specificity of information	Data for palliative care donors may be missing if no information relates to <i>specific</i> palliative care initiatives.
Inaccuracy of information	It is possible that some donors may have been included who do not fund palliative care.
Framing effect	The way in which the survey questions were framed may have influenced responses.

Outcomes

It is expected this report will:

1. Contribute to knowledge in the area of hospice palliative care funding.
2. Encourage discussion about how to access support from a wide range of known donors.
3. Help inform the funding agendas of palliative care services.
4. Encourage new funding-related collaborations.
5. Identify areas of low activity, particularly in resource poor settings.

Results

We found 354 donors are active in our five target regions.

As we analysed the organisations' similarities and differences, an 8-category typology emerged which provides a short-hand guide to their nature and composition. Among a group such as this, overlapping features are inevitable, especially when each body is involved in a similar activity: the donation of funds to support palliative care development. Nevertheless, we consider there are significant differences between these groups and the draft typology helps illuminate the activity on the ground.

The identified organisations are grouped under the following categories and definitions:

- 1) ‘Multilateral’: a body which incorporates the governments of multiple countries working together.
- 2) ‘Bilateral’: a national government that partners with another country.
- 3) ‘Humanitarian’: an organisation that promotes human welfare and alleviates suffering.
- 4) ‘Faith-based’: a body prompted to action by an obligation to its religious or spiritual tenets.
- 5) ‘Business’: an organisation engaged in commerce, manufacturing or a service designed to generate profit.
- 6) ‘Hospice support’: an existing hospice organisation that provides funding to a developing hospice or an organisation specifically founded to fulfil such a purpose.
- 7) ‘Association’: an organised group or society that is engaged in the promotion and development of palliative care at the national and/or international level.
- 8) ‘Other’: donors that either fall outside of categories 1 to 7 or about which we have insufficient information to categorise them at present.

Examples of each type of organisation are shown in Table 4

Table 4. Typology of donor organisations

Organisation	Examples
1 Multilateral	World Bank United Nations
2 Bilateral	United States Agency for International Development Irish AID
3 Humanitarian	Li Ka Shing Foundation Red Cross
4 Faith-based	American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee Scottish Catholic International Aid Fund
5 Business	AngloGold Ashanti Rolls Royce India
6 Hospice Support	Friends of Swaziland Hospice St Petersburg Healthcare Trust
7 Association (Nat/ Intl)	International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care European Society of Medical Oncology
8 Other	European School of Oncology North South Development Trust

Among the eight categories of donors, the Humanitarian group is the largest with 124 (35%) organisations whereas the Multilateral group is the smallest with 9 (3%) (Table 5).

Table 5. Total palliative care donors by type

Organisation	N	%
1 Multilateral	9	3
2 Bilateral	32	9
3 Humanitarian	124	35
4 Faith-based	71	20
5 Business	53	15
6 Hospice Support	33	9
7 Association (Int/ Nat)	22	6
8 Other	10	3
Total	354	100

An analysis of each group's area of activity shows that most donor organisations are active in CEE/ CIS (157; 44%) followed next by Africa (141; 40%). Only 22 (6%) are active in Latin America and the Caribbean and 19 (5%) in the Middle East.

Significantly, in the countries of India and China only 48 (13%) donors were active in the two countries – 43 (12%) of them in India and 5 (1%) in China. In the latter case, no donors fall into the multilateral or bilateral groups (Table 6).

Table 6. Palliative care donors by region of activity

Organisation	Region					Country	
	CEE/CIS	Africa	CSE Asia	Lat America +Caribbean	Middle East	India	China
1 Multilateral	6	6	3	1	1	0	0
2 Bilateral	14	19	4	4	2	1	0
3 Humanitarian	52	54	30	4	4	14	3
4 Faith-based	29	27	16	4	3	9	0
5 Business	18	21	12	3	2	12	0
6 Hospice Support	17	9	5	0	2	2	1
7 Association (Int/ Nat)	14	5	5	4	5	4	1
8 Other	7	0	1	2	0	1	0
Total	157	141	76	22	19	43	5
% donors (n=354)	44%	40%	21%	6%	5%	12%	1%

Donor activity: indicative examples by type of organisation

1 Multilateral

Defined as: A body that incorporates the governments of multiple countries working together

The World Bank

The World Bank has provided funding for hospice and palliative care initiatives in Africa, Asia, CEE and CIS.

In Africa, the Bank has funded the *HIV/AIDS Response Project* in Mozambique³ and the *Multi-Sectoral HIV/AIDS Project* in Cameroon and Benin⁴ - both of which include palliative care as a central component. Most resources, however, have been committed to Africa's \$1 billion *Multi-Country HIV/AIDS Project* (MAP).⁵

In CEE/ CIS, World Bank has supported health system projects (including hospice care) in Croatia, Macedonia, Kazakhstan, Bulgaria, Moldova, Poland, Armenia, Uzbekistan, Latvia, Slovakia and Serbia. In particular, the Bank has: extended a loan of over US \$6 million to finance new medical and hospital equipment throughout the region; funded training in long-term and hospice care for doctors, nurses and managers of health care institutions; and, since 2000, awarded small grants to a dozen civil organisations in Slovakia. The grants, ranging between \$1,500 and \$4,000, support HIV/AIDS programmes including hospice care in Banska Bystrica.⁶ In Moldova, the *World Bank TB/AIDS Control Project* Moldova included palliative care as a central component.⁷

Funding is also provided for hospice and palliative care initiatives in Central and South East Asia: Philippines, Bhutan, Nepal, Cambodia, Sri Lanka, Thailand and Bangladesh.⁸

The Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria

The Global Fund⁹ represents a new type of partnership between governments, civil society, the private sector and affected communities. Established in 2002, it works closely with other multilateral and bilateral organisations and supports their work by the provision of substantially increased funding. Importantly, the Global Fund does not initiate or operate programmes, nor does it determine which policies, activities, or types of care should be implemented; such decisions rest entirely with local organisations. Consequently, some proposals may include palliative care, others not, depending on the locally-determined needs and priorities.

Table 7 gives an indicative list of proposals accepted by the Global Fund which have a palliative care element. It should, however, be interpreted with caution. The 'funding requested' column shows the total sum requested for the whole project - not just the palliative care element - and this may differ from the amount finally awarded. Also, although palliative care may be included in an original proposal, the subsequent Grant

Performance Report may not include palliative care-related targets on which to measure performance. Regarding the five projects listed in Table 7, palliative care targets are included in four Grant Performance Reports¹⁰ but are missing in the case of Sudan.

Table 7. Global Fund grants awarded to proposals that include palliative care: indicative examples

Project title	Region	Principle recipient	Start date	Funding requested	Palliative care element	Disease category
1 Sudan Proposal to Fight HIV/AIDS	Africa	United Nations Development Programme	2005	\$20,682,531	Develop, distribute and train service providers and community members on palliative care.	HIV/AIDS
2 Strengthening/ Expanding the Western Cape HIV/AIDS Prevention, Treatment and Care Programmes	Africa	The Provincial Health Department of the Western Cape	2004	\$66,501,629	Strengthen palliative in-patient and home-based care services by expanding the already existing network of hospice facilities and their linked home-based care services	HIV/AIDS
3 Enhancing the Care of HIV/AIDS Infected and Affected Patients in Resource-constrained Settings in KwaZulu-Natal	Africa	The National Treasury of the Republic of South Africa	2004	\$62,476,563	Expansion of home based and palliative care throughout KwaZulu Natal; and training of 11 palliative care trainers	HIV/AIDS
4 Promoting a Strategic Response to HIV/AIDS Treatment and Care for Vulnerable Populations in the Russian Federation	CEE/ CIS	Russian Health Care Foundation	2005	\$119,873,915	Develop a comprehensive approach to palliative care – pain management psychological support, home and hospice care for people with HIV/AIDS	HIV/AIDS
5 Stimulating an Effective National Response to HIV/AIDS in the Russian Federation	CEE/ CIS	The Open Health Institute	2004	\$88,742,354	Provision of home-based and palliative care to people living with HIV/AIDS	HIV/AIDS

2 Bilateral

Defined as: A national government organisation that partners with another country

Irish Aid

Irish Aid¹¹ is the Government of Ireland's programme of assistance to developing countries. From the outset, the Irish Aid programme has maintained a sharp focus on sub-Saharan Africa, where it maintains wide-ranging programmes in the six countries of Lesotho, Mozambique, Tanzania, Ethiopia, Zambia, and Uganda. Coordination with

international organisations such as the World Bank, International Monetary Fund, United Nations and the European Union is considered an important means of enhancing the value and effectiveness of the programme. Irish Aid supports innovative HIV/AIDS interventions that encompass community based palliative care.

In Uganda, Irish Aid has been one of the key partners in the country's response to the HIV/AIDS pandemic, supporting many of the campaign's activities. Intermittent funding has been granted to Hospice Africa Uganda since the organisation was founded in 1993. Irish Aid facilitated the construction of the hospice website that linked the distance learning diploma course with palliative care training units throughout Africa. In financial year (FY) 2001-2, Irish Aid donated the equivalent of 150,870 Ugandan Shillings to the hospice.

US Government's President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR)

In 2004, a major global policy initiative was launched by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID), known as the President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR).¹² Over a five year period, its goals are to provide treatment to 2 million HIV-infected people, prevent 7 million HIV infections, and provide care for 10 million people infected by HIV/AIDS, including orphans and vulnerable children. Fifteen billion dollars have been committed to the project, of which 15% is intended for palliative care. Worldwide, an estimated 15 countries encompass 50% of the world's infections and these are designated 'focus countries': Botswana; Cote d'Ivoire; Ethiopia; Guyana; Haiti; Kenya; Mozambique; Namibia; Nigeria; Rwanda; South Africa; Tanzania; Uganda; Vietnam; Zambia.

Since inception, PEPFAR has made it a priority to engage with international organisations and foster public-private partnerships for the development of HIV/AIDS initiatives. An inter-agency technical working group comprised of representatives from the Office of the US Global AIDS Coordinator, USAID and the US Departments of Health and Human Services, Labor, and Commerce provides guidance to country teams so that they can leverage resources more effectively from the private sector. Wrap-around programmes (complementary to PEPFAR but not funded by it) receive funding from a variety of sources, including the United States Government, the Global Fund, the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF) and World Food Programme.

Through the New Partners Initiative (NPI) announced by President Bush on World AIDS Day 2005, PEPFAR has attempted to build the capacity of organisations at the community level, while building local ownership of HIV/AIDS responses in the longer term. Under NPI, the Emergency Plan awarded a series of grants - totalling around \$200 million - to new partners to provide services in the Emergency Plan's 15 focus countries until 2008.

According to PEPFAR, palliative care is provided by its 'prime partners' who either

provide palliative care as a component of their overall care plans or sub-contract to a series of ‘sub-partners’. Such partners are listed in Table 8, along with the countries where palliative care is said to be provided.

Table 8. Palliative care initiatives among PEPFAR prime partners

Prime partner	Country
American International Health Alliance	South Africa, Zambia
CARE International	Cote d'Ivoire, Tanzania, Zambia
Catholic Relief Services	Ethiopia, Kenya, Nigeria, South Africa, Uganda, Zambia
Family Health International	Cote d'Ivoire, Ethiopia, Guyana, Kenya, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria, Rwanda, South Africa, Uganda, Vietnam, Zambia
Francois Xavier Bagnoud Center	Guyana
Hope Worldwide	Cote d'Ivoire, South Africa
Hospice Africa Uganda	Uganda
Hospice and Palliative Care Association Of S Africa	South Africa
International HIV/AIDS Alliance	Cote d'Ivoire, Uganda
John Snow, Incorporated	Kenya, Uganda, Zambia
Mildmay International	Uganda
Pact Incorporated	Botswana, Namibia, Vietnam
Pathfinder International	Tanzania
Right To Care	South Africa
Salvation Army	South Africa
Save the Children US	Ethiopia
The AIDS Support Organization	Uganda
The Futures Group International	Kenya, South Africa, Vietnam
United Nations Development Programme	Vietnam
US Agency for International Development	Ethiopia, Nigeria, Rwanda, Vietnam
US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention	Botswana, Ethiopia, Guyana, Mozambique, Nigeria, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia
World Food Program	Ethiopia
World Health Organisation	Nigeria, Vietnam
World Vision International	Mozambique, Uganda, Zambia

Although the five-year plan set out in the 2003 legislation ends in October 2008, officials have made it clear that America will continue to fund international AIDS programmes for many more years to come:

"There is no feeling here that this is just a five-year programme. There is no question that this kind of funding will stop. We are not walking away from the people who are on this programme. We have a commitment to make sure that people who get life-saving treatment ... will continue with that course of treatment." - Jimmy Kolker, deputy US Global AIDS coordinator.¹⁰

In May 2007 President Bush announced his intention to double the initiative's budget to \$30 billion to cover years 2009 to 2013. He also emphasized the need to shift from an emergency plan to a sustainable response and suggested new prevention, treatment and care targets.¹¹ On 2 April 2008, the US House of Representatives approved a bill to reauthorize PEPFAR (HR 5501) with an allocation of \$50 billion.^{13 14} The bill will be voted on next in the Senate.

3 Humanitarian

Defined as: An organisation that promotes human welfare and alleviates suffering

The Open Society Institute (OSI)

The Open Society Institute¹⁵ is a private operating and grant making foundation that aims to shape public policy to promote democratic governance, human rights, and economic, legal and social reform. Through its International Palliative Care Initiative (IPCI),¹⁶ which began in 2000 as a collaboration between the Open Society Institute's Project on Death in America and the Public Health Program, its goal is to improve end of life care for patients and their families with a special focus on vulnerable populations including the elderly, children, and patients living with cancer and HIV/AIDS. To date, a total of 250 grants have been awarded to individuals, organisations, foundations and associations with 1.2 million dollars distributed annually since 2005. As of 2008, approximately 2.2 million dollars each year will be committed to advance palliative care globally.

Public and professional educational programs feature prominently among IPCI's primary strategic goals alongside advocacy, policy and standards development, and the availability of essential drugs. To meet these goals, grants are given to support resource training centres advancing palliative care at national and regional levels. IPCI has supported centres in countries such as Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Romania, Singapore, South Africa, and Uganda. Needs assessments have been funded in a wide range of countries including Azerbaijan, Macedonia, Malaysia, Moldova, Philippines, Tajikistan, Thailand, and Ukraine; and IPCI personnel have provided technical assistance to several countries receiving Global Fund or PEPFAR grants.

To monitor palliative care development and opioid availability worldwide, IPCI has supported the International Observatory on End of Life Care (Lancaster University, UK) and the WHO Collaborating Center for Pain and Policy Studies at the University of Wisconsin-Madison (US). Within the Pain and Policy Studies Group, IPCI funds an innovative pain policy fellowship whereby fellows are selected and equipped with the knowledge and skills to evaluate national policy and improve the availability of pain medications in their respective countries.

Each year, IPCI supports individuals, associations, and international organizations with grants that range from \$1,000 to \$150,000.¹⁷

Table 9. Funding activity: the International Palliative Care Initiative

Activity	Examples
Advocacy	28 care projects worldwide
Country needs assessment	Azerbaijan, Macedonia, Malaysia, Moldova, Philippines, Tajikistan, Thailand, Ukraine
Education	Clinical bedside teaching: Georgia, Jordan, Mongolia, Romania, Ukraine University of Cape Town Diploma in Palliative Medicine, Hospice Casa Sperantei, Hungarian Hospice Foundation, National Cancer Centre Singapore
Funding collaborations	Ellenor Foundation, Elton John AIDS Foundation, European Association for Palliative Care, The Diana, Princess of Wales Memorial Fund, European Society of Medical Oncology, Help the Hospices, International Association of AIDS Physicians in Care, International Atomic Energy Agency, International Psycho-Oncology Association, National Cancer Institute, National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization, St Petersburg Healthcare Trust, Sue Ryder Foundation, World Health Organisation
Information exchange	EAPC East, CEE/ FSU Newsletter; Hospice Information
Leadership	Fellowships in developing countries International Pain Policy Fellowships, IAHPIC Traveling Scholars
Model service delivery programmes	Soweto Hospice, Zululand Hospice, South Coast Hospice, Hospice Casa Sperantei, Hungarian Hospice Foundation, Hospice Africa Uganda
National standards	Georgia, Hungary, Moldova, Mongolia, Romania, South Africa, Uganda, Vietnam
Organisation support	Africa Palliative Care Association, Asia Pacific Hospice Network, European Association for Palliative Care, International Association for Hospice and Palliative Care, International Observatory on End of Life Care, Pain and Policy Studies Group
Resource training centres	Hungary, Mongolia, Poland, Romania, Singapore, South Africa, Uganda
Policy development	Hungary: pc in cancer control policy; MoH commitment to regional pc services by 2010 Romanian experience: drug policy project; review and revision of opioid regulations Mongolia: service development; palliative care into medical/ nursing curricula; Georgia, South Africa, Uganda, Vietnam: revised opioid regulations
Translations	Achieving Balance in National Opioids Control Policy: 21 languages Education in Palliative and End of Life Care for Oncology
Travel and scholarships	Africa Palliative Care Association, Asia Pacific Hospice Palliative Care Network, European Association for Palliative Care, European Society of Medical Oncology, International Psycho-Oncology Society, International Congress on Palliative Care (Montreal)

The Diana, Princess of Wales Memorial Fund

The Palliative Care Initiative (PCI) of the Diana, Princess of Wales Memorial Fund was launched in 2000 to mobilise public awareness, policy and funds in support of palliative care in nine African countries: Ethiopia, Kenya, Malawi, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe.¹⁸ Across these countries, the Fund has been engaged in a range of activities, which include placing palliative care on the agenda at the national and international level, extending the training of health professionals, funding model programmes that provide palliative care in poor urban and rural settings, and advocating for the accessibility of opioid drugs (Table 10).

Overall, the desired outcome is that palliative care is accepted as part of, and integrated into, the care and treatment of people with HIV/AIDS, cancer and other life-limiting illnesses. According to Andrew Purkis, the then chief executive of the Fund, the strategy was designed to build momentum throughout the region and ‘turn islands of hope in a sea

of neglect into oceans of care for the millions facing otherwise agonising death in some of the poorest regions on the planet'.¹⁹ Around £12 million will have been disbursed by the time the available funds have been exhausted.²⁰

Table 10. Funding activity: the Diana, Princess of Wales Memorial Fund

Country	Recipient	Purpose	Amount £
Africa wide	African Palliative Care Association (APCA)	To support two strategic areas: organisational capacity-building; and the development of technical assistance materials for education and training, monitoring and evaluation – 5 year grant	743,296
Kenya	Maua Methodist Hospital	To expand the palliative care coverage from three communities to a further seven – 2 year grant	48,474
	Meru	To contribute to salaries, new vehicle for outreach work, drugs, surgical supplies, other medical expenses and administration costs – 3 year grant	62,832
Malawi	Malawi Home Based Care Charitable Trust	To provide home based care in 8 villages in Limbe, funding for 2 nurses, 4 HBC assistants; purchase drugs and 3 motorcycles – 3 year grant	96,855
Malawi	St Gabriel's Hospital Namitete	To complete home based care programme; fund a coordinator, nurses, training and community sensitisation programme – 3 year grant	108,839
South Africa	Hospice Palliative Care Association (HPCA)	To support activities of the advocacy officer: salary and administrative assistance; development of publicity and campaigning events; 8 provincial workshops – 2 year grant	107,612
	Hospice Palliative Care Association (HPCA)	To develop paediatric palliative care in South Africa and provide a lead in other African countries – 4 year grant	242,053
Tanzania	Ocean Road Cancer Institute	To strengthen the capacity of the palliative care team and promote community based palliative care – 2 year grant	52,300
	Hereford Muheza Link Society	To cover shortfall in salaries of core administrative, cleaning driving staff; transport and maintenance – 1 year grant	16,000
Uganda	Medical Missionaries of Mary	To continue palliative care, home and hospital visits, training workshops for community volunteers and health professionals – 3 year grant	99,894
	Hospice Africa Uganda	To support HAU's distance learning Diploma in Palliative Care course – 18 month grant	48,106
Zambia	Mother of Mercy Hospice	To buy a vehicle, improve training, develop a reliable, continuous supply of drugs and equipment, improve training – 3-year grant	78,000
	Ndola Ecumenical Hospice Association	To support palliative care – especially staff salaries, honorariums and mealie meal for caregivers, and contribute to overheads and administration costs - 3 year grant	99,389

Lien Foundation

The Lien Foundation has a funding range of US \$100,000 to \$800,000 per project and was founded in 1980 by Lien Ying Chow - a Singaporean business leader and diplomat – to focus on the environment, education and the needs of the elderly. The Foundation supports innovative models of eldercare and under the title 'Breaking the Deathly Silence' has entered into partnership with the Singapore Hospice Council (SHC) to support palliative care capacity building, service development and a public awareness campaign.²¹

One initiative, designed to raise public awareness and attract more medical and nursing staff into palliative care is emblematic of partnership. Here, the aim is to create the first-ever doctors' and nurses' palliative care fellowships in Singapore while mounting an inaugural campaign to raise public awareness of hospice palliative care in the island state. Of the \$2 million funding donated to SHC by the Lien Foundation, \$1.2 million will be directed to the scholarships and fellowships and \$800,000 to public awareness efforts.

The SHC-Lien Foundation project is timely as Singapore faces a rapidly ageing population and a shortage of health professionals trained in palliative care. The scholarships and fellowships scheme aims to address Singapore's shortfall of palliative care specialists and at the same time encourage more doctors and nurses to pursue a career in palliative care. In view of Singapore's position as a medical hub, the SHC-Lien Foundation partnership is offering fellowships to doctors in the Asia Pacific region, thereby raising the overall standard of care in countries of the Pacific rim. In effect, the scheme will train ten local doctors, 20 regional doctors and 20 local nurses over a period of five years. Successful candidates will undergo training to receive the Graduate Certificate I (Palliative Care) offered for the first time by the National Cancer Centre Singapore (NCCS) and Flinders University of South Australia.

This effort complements the SHC-Lien Foundation public awareness campaign which aims to increase Singaporeans' awareness of hospice-palliative care and end-of-life issues, and face them without fear or stigma. A website, toll-free hotline, mobile photographic exhibition and range of TV, radio and outdoor advertising has been commissioned that focuses on the needs of carers as well as patients.

A further initiative is the Lien Centre for Palliative Care: a collaboration between the Foundation and Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School, supported by the National Cancer Centre and Singapore Health Services. The Centre will focus on professional education and development as well as end-of-life and palliative care research, with a special emphasis on the local and regional contexts.²²

Li Ka Shing Foundation

The Hong Kong-based Li Ka Shing Foundation (LKSF) was established in 1980 by the philanthropist of the same name to co-ordinate donations towards medical, educational, cultural and other community welfare projects and help to build a more caring society. To date, LKSF and other private charitable foundations established by Li Ka Shing have disbursed over HK \$8.3 billion.

Alongside other hospice projects, LKSF has funded a major initiative in mainland China. In 1998, the Foundation established a hospice facility at the First Affiliated Hospital of the Shantou University Medical College. This was followed by the *National Hospice Care Programme* which established around 20 hospices countrywide. Aimed towards patients with cancer, LKSF has committed around US \$4 million per annum and intends to provide around 40 services. The LKSF provision is thought to be the only service in China that provides free-of-charge hospice care.²³

4 Faith-based

Defined as: A body prompted to action by an obligation to its religious or spiritual tenets

American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee

Since 1914, the American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee (JDC)²⁴ has served as the overseas arm of the American Jewish community and, among many interests, has supported the development of hospice care, both in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union. In 2001, the mobile hospice team at the Jewish Hospital in Budapest was founded by the Hungarian Jewish Social Support Foundation, but with JDC support; and the organisation has also run hospice seminars in the former Soviet Union.

In Israel, the Myers-JDC-Brookdale Institute - an applied social research centre serving Israel and the Jewish world – collaborated on a study titled *Evaluation of Hospice Programs in Israel in General, and in the Emek Region in Particular*. A further report *Palliative and Hospice Services in Israel* provides a comprehensive picture of palliative and hospice services throughout the country and examines their characteristics, cost, quality and unmet needs. The findings of this study were presented to representatives of the Jewish Federations of New York and Detroit. Contact with these Federations provided added impetus for the development of a national training programme in palliative care, spearheaded by The Association for the Planning and Development of Services for the Aged in Israel (JDC-ESHEL) and Ben Gurion University.

Catholic Relief Services

Catholic Relief Services (CRS)²⁵ is a Christian Roman Catholic charity that is active in Africa, Asia, Europe and Latin America. In a 1989 response to the growing HIV/AIDS pandemic, Catholic bishops declared:

It is imperative that a continuum of care be developed that allows for the integration of all necessary services within a given community: nutritional services, home health care, ambulatory care, transportation, hospital services, extended and/or skilled nursing care, and hospice services.²⁶

Catholic Relief Services is now a prime partner in the PEPFAR initiative. In Zambia, through its partnership the Catholic dioceses, local faith-based non-governmental organisations, and hospices, CRS has provided funding for palliative care to: Jon Hospice; Our Lady's Hospice; Ranchod Hospice; Chilanga Mother of Mercy Hospice; Cicketekelo Hospice, and the Palliative Care Association of Zambia

A project which typifies the work of CRS is the *Scaling Up Community Care to Enhance Social Safety Nets* (SUCCESS). This programme aims to provide palliative care to chronically ill people through home-based care providers and hospices – especially in rural provinces where health services are insufficient to meet demand. A model of skilled nurses in leadership and implementation roles, combined with trained volunteer caregivers is designed to provide essential care to people living with HIV and AIDS. In addition, SUCCESS works with the American Health Alliance to support twinning

between the Zambia Palliative Care Association and regional palliative care institutions such as the African Palliative Care Association.

5 Business

Defined as: An organisation engaged in commerce, manufacturing or a service designed to generate profit

AngloGold Ashanti

AngloGold Ashanti (AGA)²⁷ is a gold mining company with a global reach that is active in four continents. In Africa, the company has an interest in HIV/AIDS and supports home based care outreach programmes and palliative nursing programmes, particularly in remote areas. Funding is sourced from the company's AngloGold Ashanti Health budget or AngloGold Ashanti Fund.

In South Africa, AGA is a major sponsor of the *Carletonville Home and Community Based Care Project (CHCBC)* - along with the Gauteng Province's departments of health and social services - which opened in 1998. In 2007 the project supported over 100 patients who were home or bed-bound requiring palliative care. In addition the CHCBC project also supports approximately 260 people in support groups and a further 580 orphaned children in child-headed and granny-headed households in the community.

In 2007, AGA co-funded numerous hospice organisations: Matlosana Hospice (Klerksdorp), the Hospice Association of the Witwatersrand (Johannesburg), Knysna Hospice (Western Cape), St Francis Hospice (Eastern Cape) and Potchefstroom Hospice (North West Province). Each hospice was deemed to have innovative approaches to caring for patients with terminal disease through *Integrated Community Home Based Care Programmes* which train and support community caregivers to administer home care - under professional supervision - to HIV positive people, their families and communities. This integrated model has been so successful that it became adopted by South Africa's Hospice Palliative Care Association and piloted in seven areas of the country by the Department of Health.

Since 2005, AngloGold Ashanti has given an annual grant to Hospice North West in Klerksdorp, now called Hospice Matlosana – an organisation which cares for people in the areas surrounding AGA's mining operations. The hospice primarily offers home-based care but has extended its services with the provision of a day care centre for children up to the age of six, and an eight-bedded in-patient unit in Jouberton to care for patients in the last stage of life.²⁸

Other programmes funded by AGA include Teba Home-based care for terminally ill ex-AngloGold Ashanti employees in the rural areas of South Africa's Eastern Cape, Free State, Kwazulu-Natal, and in the surrounding countries of Lesotho, Swaziland and Mozambique.

6 Hospice support group

Defined as: An existing hospice organisation that provides funding to a developing hospice or an organisation specifically founded to fulfil such a purpose

Hospice Care Kenya

Hospice Care Kenya (HCK)²⁹ is a UK-based charity established in 1991 to promote the development of the hospice movement in Kenya. Most of the trustees have lived or worked in Kenya and visit Kenya regularly, at their own expense, for liaison meetings with local people.

The charity supports the work of six hospices and a satellite clinic, all of which provide palliative care to people who are coming towards the end of their life. The Kenyan hospices work with outpatients or in the patient's own home. Currently, there are hospices at Nairobi, Nyeri, Eldoret, Kisumu, Meru and Mombasa, with a further facility being developed in Nyehururu at the district hospital. The hospices submit their ideas and projects to HCK along with a request for funding. To date, HCK has provided funds towards:

- Training doctors attached to the hospices.
- The purchase of vehicles for home visits.
- Financing palliative care diploma courses for nurses.
- The purchase of essential medicines.
- Start-up funding for new hospices.
- Buildings refurbishment.
- Office equipment.
- Nurses' salaries.

Table 11. Funding activity (2006-7): Hospice Care Kenya

Recipient	Purpose	Amount £
Nairobi Hospice	Nurse training	2,400
Nyeri Hospice	Cost of drugs	3,650
	Health worker training	2,800
Coast Hospice (Mombasa)	Refurbishment	2,000
	Equipment	1,850
	Nurse training	1,200
Eldoret	Refurbishment	2,000
	Nurse training	1,200
Meru	Doctor training	1,600
	Nurse training	1,200
Nyahururu	Nurse training	2,400
Kisumu	Health worker training	10,000
Kenya Hospice Palliative Care Association	Set-up costs	1,000
Shipping	medical supplies	288

Hospices of Hope

Graham Perolls established the Ellenor Foundation in 1985 to provide hospice services across an area of West Kent and the London Borough of Bexley. The Foundation quickly became established and when he was later asked to support the people of Brasov (Romania) after the fall of communism, the Ellenor Foundation launched an appeal to provide funds and technical support for the joint Romanian/ English charity Hospice Casa Sperantei (1992). Throughout the 1990s the Ellenor Foundation maintained its commitment to training and fund-raising - and when new-build premises were completed in 2001, it was English partners and European funders who had largely met the costs of the project (GB £763,000).

That same year, a new charity – Hospice of Hope Romania (now called Hospices of Hope) - was founded, with Graham Perolls as executive director, to take on the work begun by the Ellenor Foundation.. Building on the success of Casa Sperantei, the aim was to develop hospice care in Romania and advocate for palliative care provision throughout the Balkans. As the Romanian government became receptive to the hospice ideal, Casa Sperantei became acknowledged as a regional training centre and the *Beacon Project* was launched (2003) to support hospice development in Serbia, Macedonia and Moldova, supported by an extensive fund-raising campaign to meet the anticipated need of £1.35 million over the first three years. Key objectives included:

- 1) Further development of the hospice and training resources in Brasov.
- 2) Establishing regional training centres.
- 3) Providing training bursaries.
- 4) Pump-priming projects in five strategic cities: Bucharest, Cluj (both Romania); Skopje (Macedonia); Belgrade (Serbia); and Chisinau (Moldova).

By 2006, Hospices of Hope had offices in England and Scotland and has a sister charity in the United States. It had also: supported/ obtained successful grant applications from GlaxoSmithKline, USAID, the European Union, and a London Trust in memory of Prue Dufour (a UK hospice pioneer). It had developed regional centres; supported Belhospice (the first palliative care facility in Serbia); and sponsored a Macedonian champion to undertake the palliative care diploma course at Cardiff University. The charity's income in the last two years is shown in Table 12.³⁰

Table 12. Hospice of Hope Romania: Income 2005 and 2006

2005 - Source	Amount GB £	2006 – Source	Amount GB £
Hospice of Hope UK	547,876	United Kingdom	906,579
Ellenor Romanian Appeal	118,981	United States of America	65,870
Hospice of Hope (USA)	63,766	Serbia	4,901
Hospice Casa Sperantei (Romania)	146,299	Romania	411,021
Total	876,922	Total	1,388,389

7 International/ national associations

Defined as: An organised group or society that is engaged in the promotion and development of palliative care at the national and/ or international level

Help the Hospices

Help the Hospices (HtH)³¹ is a membership organisation that supports independent hospices throughout the United Kingdom. One of the strategic objectives of HtH is to promote networks of collaboration concerned with the development of hospice and palliative care worldwide, particularly in resource poor regions. The organisation's international programme includes international collaboration; the support of national associations; advocacy; information and publications; and a annual programme of grants, some of which are shown in Table 13.

From 2001-2007, the organisation's international advisory committee was the UK Forum for Hospice and Palliative Care Worldwide. Its aims were, to:

- 1) Influence governments and policy makers to include hospice and palliative care within their health policies.
- 2) Promote and develop the sharing of information and experience.
- 3) Seek to influence and develop realistic funding for hospice and palliative care.
- 4) Support and develop palliative care in resource-poor countries through Help the Hospices' Worldwide Education, Training and Projects Grants.
- 5) Raise awareness in the UK about the need for palliative care globally, particularly in resource-poor countries.

This international advisory committee is now called the International Palliative Care Reference Group.

Table 13. Funding activity: Help the Hospices

Country	Recipient	Purpose	Amount £
Argentina	Pallium Latinoamerica	Post Grad certificate in palliative care	2,000
Bangladesh	Afzalunnessa Foundation	Basic certificate in palliative medicine	1,000
China	Fourth Hospital: Sichuan University	MSc in palliative medicine	2,000
Cuba	Old Havanah Municipality	Palliative care in primary care settings	2,000
Georgia	Humanists Union	Education course: Palliative care in children	2,260
India	CanSupport, Delhi	Foundation course	2,400
Malawi	Palliative Care Association	Training of trainers in palliative care	2,500
Moldova	Palliative Care Association	Seminar: questions of palliative care assistance	790
Philippines	Madre de Amor	Hospice volunteer training	600
Romania	St Margaret's Hospice, Bucharest	Paediatric palliative care in the community	1,600
Russia	Perm Hospice Charity Organisation	Seminar: bereavement and loss	1,000
Tanzania	Selian Lutheran Hospital	New day care services	2,500
Sierra Leone	Crusaders	HIV/AIDS prevention and care	2,460
Thailand	Kwai River Christian Hospital	Basic course in palliative nursing	670
Vietnam	PATHOS	Project: Care for the Children	1,000

Along with St Christopher's Hospice (London) Help the Hospices supports *hospice information* – a service designed to provide palliative care professionals and members of the public access to high quality information that includes training courses, publications, an e-newsletter and an international directory of palliative care services.

The charity also provides secretariat support to the Worldwide Palliative Care Alliance (WPCA) – a global network of national associations. It organises the WPCA global summits and the organisation's advocacy work, including the World Hospice and Palliative Care Day held annually in October.³²

International Association for Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC)

The International Association for Hospice and Palliative Care is a global organisation that promotes hospice palliative care through a range of programmes. These include:

- 1) *The Traveling Fellowship Program*: this covers the cost of travel for an individual invited to teach in a developing country for at least two weeks. Forty two Fellows have travelled to 25 countries since 1999.
- 2) *The Traveling Scholarship Program*: this supports hospice-palliative care leaders from developing countries to travel to an international meeting or congress (69 so far).
- 3) *The Faculty Development Program* – designed to institutionalise palliative care in teaching hospitals and universities. The program promotes formal education in health care institutions by funding faculty palliative care positions for nurses or physicians in developing countries.
- 4) *The Clearing House Program* – an initiative that has sent more than 4,000 kilos of donated journals and books to more than 500 individuals, organisations and libraries in developing countries.

Between \$150,000 and \$200,000 is committed to these programmes annually, with the greatest amount dedicated to the Faculty Development Program.

National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization, including: The Foundation for Hospices in Sub-Saharan Africa The Diana Legacy Fund

The National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO) has committed both technical and financial resources to help grow palliative care provision in sub-Saharan Africa, Eastern Europe, Asia and Latin America. Partnering with organisations such as USAID, The Diana, Princess of Wales Memorial Fund, OSI and IAHPC, the organisation has assisted the development of standards and systems for measuring palliative care quantity and quality - notably in Latin America, Africa and India. Strong links have been formed with national and regional associations, typified by its relationship with the African Palliative Care Association (APCA). Here, NHPCO is supporting APCA's ability to scale up palliative care for people in Africa living with HIV and AIDS. Work

continues on: advocacy for increased accessibility to opioid drugs; training for palliative care professionals; the development of standards and evaluation tools; and the provision of infrastructure support to graft home based palliative care into existing, primarily faith based-health care organizations. In the global context, NHPCO has given a firm commitment to the nascent Worldwide Palliative Care Alliance.

The Foundation for Hospices in Sub-Saharan Africa (FHSSA)³³ was established in 1999 to expand palliative care services and help generate resources and technical support for hospice organisations in the sub-Saharan region of Africa. In August 2004 FHSSA joined with NHPCO and helps to complement the organisation's international work. Importantly, FHSSA is a grantee of the *New Partnership Initiative* (NPI) awarded by PEPFAR and in Tanzania, for example, partners the African Palliative Care Association and the Evangelical Lutheran Church of Tanzania.

New in 2007 has been a collaboration with Direct Relief International to deliver supplies to HIV/AIDS patients in the Eastern Cape region of South Africa. The project's first shipment was distributed to the partner hospices in March. Of longer duration is the well established hospice 'twinning' programme with around 60 pairs of organisations linked together. Typically, Caris Healthcare, a hospice and palliative care provider serving the State of Tennessee, has partnered with Hospice Ethiopia Association to help provide higher quality hospice services to patients. Since 1999, Hospice of Metro Denver has been linked with Selian Lutheran Hospital's palliative care service in Arusha (Tanzania). As seen in other 'twins' staff commitment has created a sustainable relationship that goes beyond fundraising to produce a greater understanding of the mutual benefits derived from partnering.

Also in 2007 was the national launch of the Diana Legacy Fund in San Diego, established to support the international work of NHPCO – first in Africa and then more broadly. Archbishop Desmond Tutu, recipient of the Nobel Peace Prize, spoke of the humanitarian need in sub-Saharan Africa and of the work to be done. More than 250 attendees, including international guests and board members of the National Hospice Foundation (NHF) and FHSSA gathered to celebrate the launch.³⁴

Discussion

At the beginning of the project, our funders expressed an interest in discovering the range of palliative care definitions that might be found among palliative care donors and whether these various definitions impact the grant-giving process. In the event, this has been impossible to determine due to the small number of donors who responded to our survey and the lack of related information in donor publications and on web sites.

Nevertheless, this interest is timely and worthy of pursuit. During recent reviews of palliative care development, emerging evidence has indicated a varied use and different understandings of commonly used terms such as 'hospice' and 'palliative care'. A recent editorial in *Palliative Medicine*³⁵ addresses this point. Commenting on the EAPC Task Force enquiry into palliative care provision in the WHO region of Europe, Charles von

Gunten writes: 'it would appear that 52 countries define words like 'hospice' and 'palliative care' as Humpty Dumpty does, with a meaning that suits them without regard to how others use the term'. According to Von Gunten, unless the same word has the same meaning in each country where it is used, traction is lost in a comparative enquiry.

This is a good point and, on the face of it, persuasive. But it takes scant account of the philosophical and practical complexities of the definition-making/ implementation process across regions and cultures. For example: dictionary definitions describe the verb 'to palliate' as easing the symptoms of a disease – and palliative care is simply the branch of health care associated with this activity. In effect, this definition refers to the use of the word. Yet as Randall and Downie (2006: 9) point out: the WHO definition goes far beyond this and lays down a list of assumptions about its activity. Consequently, this definition is a philosophy intended to influence practice.³⁶ And an examination of the 1990 and 2002 definitions shows that it changes over time.

Among the major donors, USAID has incorporated an extension of the WHO definition into the PEPFAR programme.³⁷ 'Upstream support' includes those supported through contributions to national, regional, and local activities. These include: professional training; laboratory investigations; logistics and distribution systems; and curriculum development. 'Downstream support' includes those receiving services at US Government-funded service sites.

This extension implies that every HIV-infected person receiving any form of treatment from US-Government supported outlets are deemed to be in receipt of palliative care. Unsurprisingly, this has been contested within the palliative care community. But if there are different definitions between key 'players' such as these, little wonder that different perceptions exist in the field. In our survey, we found that few donor organisations declared any definition of palliative care and when asked, failed to respond.

The very low response rate from the donor survey was a concern to us. Most of the donors were handling public or charitable funds and we have no doubts about transparency or accountability. Yet the reluctance to convey details such as programme areas, population focus, disease categories and evaluation meant this section of the report is not as comprehensive as we would have liked.

Similar issues related to the scoping exercise. Although 130 respondents supplied the names of 272 donors, the response rate of 18% indicates that many 'key informants' were reluctant to provide details of their organisation's funding. We acknowledge the complications of researching this area. Hospices worldwide are often rooted in their local communities and many elicit funds from hundreds of sources. One respondent told us over 1000 donors were associated with the hospice and it was inappropriate either to send the overall details or take the time to extract our targeted ones.

Results concerning the number of donors and their focus of activity are illuminating. Clearly, there is a broad range of organisations that support palliative care development and philanthropic motivations features prominently. We noted, however, that just 6% of donors were active in Latin America and such disparity echoes the findings of an

unpublished IAHPIC inquiry (2005) into grant-giving.³⁸ It is also remarkable that, in total, only 48 donors – 13% of those identified - were found in India and China: two countries which together encompass 37% of the global population. China, with one fifth of the world's population and a burgeoning economy, has just 5 (1%) donors.

The tiny number of donors identified in China not only raises concerns about palliative care funding but highlights the lack of knowledge about palliative care development in the world's most populated country. Significantly, this is not unique to the world outside of China. We note, for example, that the Li Ka Shing Foundation claimed to open China's first hospice in 1998, whereas other sources³⁹ consider that China's hospice movement began about ten years earlier – and state that 6 hospice palliative care facilities were operational by 1992.

Conclusions and recommendations

The number of donors and their areas of activity indicate that, around the world, many palliative care developments are dependent on third party funding. Yet while funding initiatives are occurring globally, in disparate regions, these are disproportionate and mostly concentrated in Central and Eastern Europe and the Commonwealth of Independent States, and Africa.

Against a background of perceived reticence on the part of some donors and grant-recipients to divulge funding information, and in the absence of a global register, there is a lack of knowledge about the number and type of palliative care donors, the regions where they operate and the priorities they address. Greater understanding of the variety of donors and their areas of interest may lead to a more strategic approach to palliative care development on the part of both funders and grant-seekers, especially in resource poor regions of the world.

We recommend:

- 1) The development and maintenance of a global register of international hospice and palliative care donors.
- 2) An awareness-raising campaign to focus attention on worldwide need and the disproportionate activity of donors.
- 3) The distribution of a position paper to help advocate for funding.
- 4) An accessible explanation of palliative care and 'glossary of terms' for funders.
- 5) A more detailed review of funding activity and palliative care development in China.
- 6) Further in-depth study of the hospice and palliative care donors globally, including in-depth interviews with key figures to test out and explore some of the issues identified in this report.

Directory of donor organisations: All regions

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other						
Name	Type	Africa	CEE/CIS	LatAm	M East	CSE Asia
Commission of the Council of Europe (Belgium)	1		CEE/CIS			
European Union	1	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Global Fund	1	Africa	CEE/CIS	LatAm		CSE Asia
Middle East Cancer Consortium (MECC)	1				M East	
United Nations	1	Africa	CEE/CIS			
United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)	1	Africa				CSE Asia
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO)	1		CEE/CIS			
World Bank	1	Africa	CEE/CIS			CSE Asia
World Food Programme (UN)	1	Africa				
Agency for Personal Services Overseas (APSO)	2	Africa				
Big Lottery (UK)	2	Africa	CEE/CIS			
British Consulate in Jerusalem	2				M East	
British Council	2		CEE/CIS			
British Embassy	2		CEE/CIS			
Canadian Embassy	2		CEE/CIS			
Canadian International Development Agency	2		CEE/CIS			
Canadian Public Health Association	2	Africa				
Centers for Disease Control	2	Africa		LatAm		CSE Asia
Danish Embassy	2	Africa				
Danish International Development Agency	2	Africa				
Department for International Development (DFID)	2	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Dutch Foreign Ministry	2		CEE/CIS			
French Government	2		CEE/CIS			
German Embassy	2		CEE/CIS			
GTZ (German Aid)	2	Africa		LatAm		
IntraHealth International	2	Africa				
Irish Aid	2	Africa				
Italian Co-operation	2	Africa				
Japan International Co-operation Agency	2	Africa				
Jersey Overseas Aid	2	Africa				
Know How Fund (Closed) now DIFID	2		CEE/CIS			
Matra Foundation (Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs)	2		CEE/CIS			
National Cancer Institute (USA)	2				M East	CSE Asia
Policy Project	2	Africa				
President's Emergency Program for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR)	2	Africa		LatAm		CSE Asia
Royal Netherlands Embassy	2	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Swiss Embassy	2	Africa				
UK Embassy	2		CEE/CIS			
United States Agency for International Development (USAID)	2		CEE/CIS			CSE Asia

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other						
US Embassy	2	Africa				
USAID	2	Africa		LatAm		
Aboitiz Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Albert Schweitzer Institute	3		CEE/CIS			
All Nippon Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Alma Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
American Austrian Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
American International Health Alliance	3	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Andrea and Charles Bronfman Philanthropies	3				M East	
Andrew Mitchell Christian Charity Trust	3	Africa				
Association François-Xavier Bagnoud	3	Africa		LatAm		CSE Asia
Bagpuss (Oliver Postgate, Peter Firmin)	3		CEE/CIS			
BEARR Trust	3		CEE/CIS			
Beit Trust	3	Africa				
Bosnia Relief	3		CEE/CIS			
Bristol-Myers Squibb	3	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Care and Share Foundation (US)	3					CSE Asia
Charity Know How	3		CEE/CIS			
Charles Dickens Heritage Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
Cheng Kim Loke Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Cipla Cancer and Aids Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Comic Relief	3	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Compassion Welfare Fund	3		CEE/CIS			
Constella Group	3	Africa				CSE Asia
Cooper Atkinson Trust	3					CSE Asia
Co-operating Netherlands Foundations for CEE	3		CEE/CIS			
Corporativa de Fundaciones	3			LatAm		
Counterpart (USA)	3		CEE/CIS			
Dean Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Diana, Princess of Wales Memorial Fund	3	Africa				
Direct Relief International	3	Africa				CSE Asia
Douleurs Sans Frontiere	3	Africa				
Elizabeth Taylor AIDS Foundation	3	Africa				
Elton John AIDS Found.	3	Africa				
Eroforras - United Way International	3		CEE/CIS			
Exeter Enterprise	3		CEE/CIS			
Family Health International	3	Africa				CSE Asia
Firelight Foundation	3	Africa				
Ford Foundation	3	Africa				
Fundacion De Lima Bohmer	3			LatAm		
GAM Care (Molo-Belgian Chamber of Commerce)	3		CEE/CIS			
Geneva Global	3	Africa				
Global Cancer Concern	3					CSE Asia
GOAL (Ireland)	3	Africa				
HealthProm	3		CEE/CIS			

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other						
Help the Aged International	3		CEE/CIS			CSE Asia
Hilfswerk Austria	3		CEE/CIS			
Humanitarian-Charity Foundation (SOCO)	3		CEE/CIS			
Ian Fergusson Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Ingrid and Peter Kirk Fund (Canada)	3					CSE Asia
International Federation Terre des Hommes	3	Africa				
International HIV/AIDS Alliance	3	Africa				
International Medical Corps	3		CEE/CIS			
International Research and Exchanges	3		CEE/CIS			
Irish GPs	3	Africa				
Isobel and Alfred Bader Foundation	3				M East	
Janivo Foundation (Netherlands)	3		CEE/CIS			
Jephcott Charitable Trust	3	Africa				
Keswick Foundation	3					CSE Asia
King Faisal Foundation	3				M East	
Lancaster Foundation	3	Africa				
Lee Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Li Ka Shing Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Lien Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Lions Fund	3		CEE/CIS			
Masaryk Cancer Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
Medical Education for South African Blacks	3	Africa				
Medicines du Mondes	3		CEE/CIS			
Medicins Sans Frontières	3	Africa	CEE/CIS	LatAm		CSE Asia
Memoria (Rehabilitation for torture victims)	3		CEE/CIS			
Moldova Filantropie	3		CEE/CIS			
Nelson Mandel Children's Fund	3	Africa				
Nuffield Foundation	3	Africa				
Oak Foundation	3	Africa				
Oameni Generosi	3		CEE/CIS			
Olga Havel Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
Open Society Institute (OSI)	3	Africa	CEE/CIS			
OXFAM	3		CEE/CIS			
PACT	3	Africa				CSE Asia
Pathfinder International	3	Africa				
Paul Hamlyn Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Philanthropic Societies	3				M East	
Plan International	3	Africa				
Pola van der Donck Found.	3	Africa				
Project Hope	3	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Red Cross	3	Africa				CSE Asia
Renaissance Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
Right to Care	3	Africa				
Rose Project	3	Africa				

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other						
Rotary Club: Bangalore Indirinagar	3					CSE Asia
Rotary Club: Berkhamstead	3	Africa				
Rotary Club: Besancon	3		CEE/CIS			
Rotary Club: Bethlehem (USA)	3	Africa				
Rotary Club: Botswana	3	Africa				
Rotary Club: Colchester	3		CEE/CIS			
Rotary Club: Coquitlam	3		CEE/CIS			
Rotary Club: Coventry	3	Africa				
Rotary Club: Cramlington	3		CEE/CIS			
Rotary Club: Frederick, Maryland	3		CEE/CIS			
Rotary Club: Greensboro	3		CEE/CIS			
Rotary Club: Hamilton (Vic, Australia)	3	Africa				
Rotary Club: Manchester	3	Africa				
Rotary Club: North Cotswold	3		CEE/CIS			
Rotary International 3H Grant (USA)	3	Africa				
Rotary International: Berlin Institute	3		CEE/CIS			
Save the Children	3	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Second Breath (Moldova)	3		CEE/CIS			
Shaw Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Singapore International Foundation	3					CSE Asia
Skillshare International	3	Africa				CSE Asia
Smile Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
Stefan Batory Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
Stephen Lewis Foundation	3	Africa				
Sue Ryder Care International	3		CEE/CIS			
Teodora Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
The AIDS Support Organisation (TASO)	3	Africa				
Theatrecares	3	Africa				
TIAS Arms (USA)	3	Africa				
True Colours Trust UK	3	Africa				
U Hla Tung Hospice Foundation	3					CSE Asia
VIA Foundation	3		CEE/CIS			
Voluntary Services Overseas	3	Africa	CEE/CIS			
WB Davis Charitable Trust	3					CSE Asia
William Kellogg Foundation	3	Africa				
Winston Churchill Memorial Trust	3	Africa				CSE Asia
Women and Law in Southern Africa	3	Africa				
Africa Inland Mission	4	Africa				
Alpha & Omega Society	4		CEE/CIS			
American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee	4		CEE/CIS		M East	
Armenian Apostolic Church	4		CEE/CIS			
Bread for the World	4	Africa				
British Methodist Church	4					CSE Asia
Cairo Evangelical Medical Society	4				M East	
Canossian Sisters	4					CSE Asia

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other						
CARE International	4	Africa				
Caritas	4		CEE/CIS			
Catholic Agency for Overseas Development	4	Africa				
Catholic Bishops' Forum	4	Africa				
Catholic Church	4					CSE Asia
Catholic Medical Mission Board	4	Africa				
Catholic Organisation for Relief and Development AID (Netherlands)	4	Africa	CEE/CIS			
Catholic Relief Services	4	Africa				
Catholic Sanipax Socio-medical Service and Education Foundation	4					CSE Asia
Catholic Welfare Services	4					CSE Asia
Children in Distress	4		CEE/CIS			
Christian Agency for Social Action	4		CEE/CIS			
Christian Reformed World Relief Committee	4	Africa		LatAm		
Convoy of Hope	4		CEE/CIS			
Council World Mission	4	Africa				CSE Asia
Danish Missionary Council	4		CEE/CIS			
Emmanuel Healthcare	4	Africa				
Episcopalian Church (USA)	4	Africa				
Evangelical Baptist Church	4		CEE/CIS			
Evangelical Lutheran Church in America	4	Africa	CEE/CIS			CSE Asia
Federation of the Hungarian Jewish Communities	4		CEE/CIS			
Feed the Minds	4		CEE/CIS			
Foundation of the Sisters of St Charles Bartholomew	4		CEE/CIS			
Franciscan Missionaries of the Divine Motherhood	4					CSE Asia
Genesis Trust	4	Africa				
HAVVA	4		CEE/CIS			
Hope Worldwide	4	Africa				CSE Asia
Hungarian Jewish Social Support Foundation	4		CEE/CIS			
Hungarian Maltese Charity	4		CEE/CIS			
International Federation of Catholic Medical Associations	4					CSE Asia
Jewish Women's Organisation of Azerbaijan	4		CEE/CIS			
Kammilian monks	4		CEE/CIS			
Knights of St Lazarus of Jerusalem	4		CEE/CIS			
Knights of the Order of Malta	4	Africa		LatAm		
Limmat Foundation	4		CEE/CIS			
Little Company of Mary	4	Africa				
Lutheran World Federation	4				M East	
Maagdenuis Foundation	4		CEE/CIS			
Manos Unidas (Spain)	4					CSE Asia
Medical Missionaries of Mary	4	Africa				
Methodist Relief and Development Fund	4					CSE Asia
Nazarene Compassionate Ministries	4	Africa				

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other						
Nordic Assistance to Vietnam	4					CSE Asia
North Carolina Baptist Hospitals	4					CSE Asia
Norwegian Church Aid	4	Africa				
Omega Foundation	4			LatAm		
Russian Orthodox Church	4		CEE/CIS			
Saltmine	4	Africa				
Scottish Catholic International Aid Fund (SCIAF)	4	Africa				
Sisters of the Cross Passion	4		CEE/CIS			
Society of St Vincent de Paulo (Netherlands)	4					CSE Asia
Society of the Friends of the Sick	4		CEE/CIS			
Something for Romania (Scotland)	4		CEE/CIS			
South African Catholic Bishops	4	Africa				
St Mary's Church, Oxford	4	Africa				
St.Vincent de Paul Association	4		CEE/CIS			
Swedish Lutheran Church	4		CEE/CIS			
Swiss Sisters of the Holy Cross	4					CSE Asia
Ukrainian Orthodox Church (USA)	4		CEE/CIS			
Victory to Victory Church	4	Africa				
Voluntary Missionary Movement	4	Africa				
World Hope International	4			LatAm		
World Vision International	4	Africa				
Abbot Pharmaceuticals	5	Africa				
Alpha Research	5		CEE/CIS			
Amalgamated Bank, South Africa	5	Africa				
American CareLift	5		CEE/CIS			
Anglo American Corporation	5	Africa				
AngloGold Ashanti	5	Africa				
BOE Private Bank	5	Africa				
British Gas India	5					CSE Asia
Budapest Bank	5		CEE/CIS			
Castrol	5					CSE Asia
Coca-Cola Africa Foundation	5	Africa				
Crier media group	5		CEE/CIS			
De Beers Tshikululu Social Investments Group	5	Africa				
Devon Energy Corp	5		CEE/CIS			
DHL	5		CEE/CIS			
First National Bank (South Africa)	5	Africa				
First Rand Foundation	5	Africa				
Fundacion Banco Provincia	5			LatAm		
Fundacion Femba	5			LatAm		
GlaxoSmithKline	5	Africa	CEE/CIS			CSE Asia
Group 4 Securities	5					CSE Asia
Hewlett Packard	5			LatAm		
Hikma Pharmaceuticals	5				M East	
HMO Kupat Holim Clalit	5				M East	
Hoechst	5					CSE Asia

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other						
Hollard Community Development Trust	5	Africa				
House of Tatas	5					CSE Asia
Indian Hotel Group	5					CSE Asia
Inkombank	5		CEE/CIS			
Investec Bank	5	Africa				
Janssen Cilag	5		CEE/CIS			
Johnson & Johnson	5	Africa				
Mercedes-Benz	5	Africa				
Microsoft	5		CEE/CIS			
Morgan Stanley	5					CSE Asia
Mundipharma	5		CEE/CIS			
Nedbank Group	5	Africa				
Nedcor Development Trust	5	Africa				
Nederberg Wine Auction	5	Africa				
Novartis	5		CEE/CIS			
Old Mutual Insurance Company	5	Africa				
Penzoil Caspian Corporation	5		CEE/CIS			
Pfizer	5	Africa				CSE Asia
Proctor and Gamble	5					CSE Asia
Rolls Royce India	5					CSE Asia
Searle	5					CSE Asia
Siemens	5		CEE/CIS			
Standard Bank Foundation	5	Africa				
Standard Chartered Bank	5	Africa				
TransOceanic Caspian	5		CEE/CIS			
Ulyanovsk Automobile Factory	5		CEE/CIS			
Vodaphone	5		CEE/CIS			
Volvo	5		CEE/CIS			
Buddhist Lotus Hospice Care Foundation	6					CSE Asia
Cancer Relief India	6					CSE Asia
Crossroads Hospice Society	6		CEE/CIS			
Friends Hospice of Uganda (Ireland)	6	Africa				
Friends of Nyeri Hospice	6	Africa				
Friends of Swaziland Hospice	6	Africa				
Friends of The Shepherd Hospice	6	Africa				
Hospice Africa (UK)	6	Africa				
Hospice Care Kenya	6	Africa				
Hospice Educational Institute Machiasport (USA)	6		CEE/CIS			
Hospice of the National Capital Region (USA)	6				M East	
Hospices of Hope	6		CEE/CIS			
Humanitarian Association of Hospice Friends	6		CEE/CIS			
Hungarian Hospice Foundation	6		CEE/CIS			
Japan Hospice Palliative Care Foundation	6					CSE Asia
Kansas City Hospice	6		CEE/CIS			
Malta Hospice Association	6		CEE/CIS			
Nairobi Hospice Netherlands	6	Africa				

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other						
Oxford International Centre for Palliative Care	6					CSE Asia
Palliative Care Resources and Training Centre (Poznan)	6		CEE/CIS			
Polish Hospices Fund	6		CEE/CIS			
Sir Michael Sobell House	6		CEE/CIS			
Sisterhood of St Elizabeth (Russia)	6		CEE/CIS			
St Ann's Hospice, Manchester	6	Africa				
St Diego Hospice: Centre for Palliative Care Studies	6				M East	
St Petersburg Healthcare Trust (UK)	6		CEE/CIS			
St. Christopher's Hospice	6		CEE/CIS			
St. Columbia's Fellowship	6					CSE Asia
Stockholms Sjukhem Foundation	6		CEE/CIS			
Victor Zorza Hospice Trust	6		CEE/CIS			
VITAS Healthcare	6	Africa				
Warsaw Hospice for Children	6		CEE/CIS			
Wisdom Hospice/ Kent Institute of Medical and Health Sciences	6		CEE/CIS			
African Palliative Care Association	7	Africa				
American Cancer Society	7		CEE/CIS			CSE Asia
Asociation Alivio Con Amor	7			LatAm		
Association for Children's Palliative Care	7		CEE/CIS			
Association Piere Clement Lorraine	7		CEE/CIS			
Carolinas Center for Hospice and EOLC	7		CEE/CIS			
European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO)	7		CEE/CIS			
Fight Against Cancer Foundation	7		CEE/CIS			
Finnish Cancer Society	7		CEE/CIS			
Foundation Against Cancer	7		CEE/CIS			
Foundation for Hospices in Sub-Saharan Africa	7	Africa				
Fundacion Mexicana de Medicina Paliativa	7			LatAm		
General Union of Philanthropy Association	7				M East	
Help the Hospices	7	Africa	CEE/CIS	LatAm	M East	CSE Asia
Humanists Union	7		CEE/CIS			
International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC)	7	Africa	CEE/CIS	LatAm	M East	CSE Asia
International Network for Cancer Treatment and Research	7					CSE Asia
Irish Hospice Foundation	7		CEE/CIS			
Israeli Cancer Society	7				M East	
Macmillan Cancer Relief (Jordan)	7				M East	
Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC)	7		CEE/CIS			
National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO)	7	Africa	CEE/CIS			CSE Asia
Avicena Pogradec	8		CEE/CIS			
European School of Oncology	8		CEE/CIS			
Friends of Hope Society	8		CEE/CIS			
Fundacion Contigo	8			LatAm		
INSAN	8		CEE/CIS			

Directory: All regions (354 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other

International Women's Club	8		CEE/CIS			
James Paget Trust	8		CEE/CIS			
North Carolina National Guard	8		CEE/CIS			
North South Development Trust	8					CSE Asia
Servicio Extremeno de Salud	8			LatAm		

Directory of donor organisations: Africa

Directory: Africa (141 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Name	Type	Countries
European Union	1	South Africa
Global Fund	1	Sudan, South Africa, Uganda
United Nations	1	Botswana, Swaziland
United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)	1	Uganda, Zimbabwe
World Bank	1	Benin, Mozambique, Niger, Sierra Leone
World Food Programme (UN)	1	Zimbabwe
Agency for Personal Services Overseas (APSO)	2	Uganda
Big Lottery (UK)	2	South Africa, Uganda
Canadian Public Health Association	2	Swaziland
Centers for Disease Control	2	Kenya, Uganda
Danish Embassy	2	Uganda
Danish International Development Agency	2	Uganda
Department for International Development (DFID)	2	Uganda
GTZ (German Aid)	2	Malawi
IntraHealth International	2	South Africa
Irish Aid	2	Lesotho, Mozambique, Tanzania, Ethiopia, Uganda
Italian Co-operation	2	Swaziland
Japan International Co-operation Agency	2	Zambia
Jersey Overseas Aid	2	Uganda
Policy Project	2	South Africa
President's Emergency Program for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR)	2	Botswana, Cote d'Ivoire, Ethiopia, Kenya, Mozambique, Namibia, Nigeria; Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia
Royal Netherlands Embassy	2	Zimbabwe
Swiss Embassy	2	Zimbabwe
US Embassy	2	Botswana, Sierra Leone, Swaziland
USAID	2	S Africa, Uganda
American International Health Alliance	3	Mozambique, Zambia
Andrew Mitchell Christian Charity Trust	3	Uganda
Association François-Xavier Bagnoud	3	Uganda
Beit Trust	3	Zimbabwe
Bristol-Myers Squibb	3	Botswana, Swaziland
Comic Relief	3	Sierra Leone
Constella Group	3	Kenya, S Africa
Diana, Princess of Wales Memorial Fund	3	Ethiopia, Kenya, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania, Zambia, Zimbabwe
Direct Relief International	3	Kenya, Malawi, S Africa
Douleurs Sans Frontiere	3	Morocco
Elizabeth Taylor AIDS Foundation	3	Uganda
Elton John AIDS Foundation	3	South Africa, Tanzania
Family Health International	3	Tanzania
Firelight Foundation	3	Zambia, Zimbabwe

Directory: Africa (141 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Ford Foundation	3	Kenya
Geneva Global	3	Cote D' Ivoire, Ethiopia, Tanzania
GOAL (Ireland)	3	Uganda
International Federation Terre des Hommes	3	Zimbabwe
International HIV/AIDS Alliance	3	Zambia, Cote D'Ivoire
Irish GPs	3	Zambia
Jephcott Charitable Trust	3	South Africa
Lancaster Foundation	3	South Africa
Medical Education for South African Blacks	3	South Africa
Medicins Sans Frontières	3	Burkina Faso, Burundi, Cameroon, DR Congo, Kenya; Malawi, Mozambique, Rwanda, South Africa, Uganda
Nelson Mandel Children's Fund	3	South Africa
Nuffield Foundation	3	Uganda, Zimbabwe
Oak Foundation	3	Zimbabwe
Open Society Institute (OSI)	3	South Africa
PACT	3	Botswana, Namibia, Malawi, South Africa, Zambia
Pathfinder International	3	Tanzania
Plan International	3	Zimbabwe
Pola van der Donck Foundation	3	Zambia
Project Hope	3	Malawi
Red Cross	3	Zimbabwe
Right to Care	3	South Africa
Rose Project	3	Kenya
Rotary Club: Berkhampstead	3	South Africa
Rotary Club: Bethlehem (USA)	3	Swaziland
Rotary Club: Botswana	3	Botswana
Rotary Club: Coventry	3	Uganda
Rotary Club: Hamilton (Vic, Australia)	3	Uganda
Rotary Club: Manchester	3	Uganda
Rotary International 3H Grant (USA)	3	Uganda
Save the Children	3	South Africa
Skillshare International	3	South Africa, Swaziland
Stephen Lewis Foundation	3	South Africa
The AIDS Support Organisation (TASO)	3	Uganda
Theatrecares	3	South Africa
TIAS Arms (USA)	3	South Africa
True Colours Trust UK	3	Uganda
Voluntary Services Overseas	3	Sierra Leone, Uganda
William Kellogg Foundation	3	South Africa, Swaziland
Winston Churchill Memorial Trust	3	Various
Women and Law in Southern Africa	3	Swaziland
Africa Inland Mission	4	Uganda
Bread for the World	4	Sierra Leone
CARE International	4	Tanzania
Catholic Agency for Overseas Development	4	Uganda

Directory: Africa (141 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Catholic Bishops' Forum	4	Swaziland
Catholic Medical Mission Board	4	Botswana, Namibia, South Africa, Swaziland, Lesotho
Catholic Organisation for Relief and Development AID (Netherlands)	4	Sierra Leone, Uganda
Catholic Relief Services	4	Sierra Leone, Zambia, Zimbabwe
Christian Reformed World Relief Committee	4	Kenya, Uganda
Council World Mission	4	South Africa
Emmanuel Healthcare	4	Malawi
Episcopalian Church (USA)	4	Botswana
Evangelical Lutheran Church in America	4	Tanzania
Genesis Trust	4	South Africa
Hope Worldwide	4	South Africa
Knights of the Order of Malta	4	South Africa
Little Company of Mary	4	Zambia, Zimbabwe
Medical Missionaries of Mary	4	Tanzania, Uganda
Nazarene Compassionate Ministries	4	Ethiopia, Zambia
Norwegian Church Aid	4	Botswana
Saltmine	4	South Africa
Scottish Catholic International Aid Fund (SCIAF)	4	Uganda
South African Catholic Bishops	4	South Africa
St Mary's Church, Oxford	4	Tanzania
Victory to Victory Church	4	South Africa
Voluntary Missionary Movement	4	Uganda
World Vision International	4	Swaziland
Abbot Pharmaceuticals	5	Zambia
Amalgamated Bank, South Africa	5	South Africa
Anglo American Corporation	5	South Africa
AngloGold Ashanti	5	Botswana, South Africa
BOE Private Bank	5	South Africa
Coca-Cola Africa Foundation	5	Kenya
De Beers Tshikululu Social Investments Group	5	South Africa
First National Bank (South Africa)	5	South Africa
First Rand Foundation	5	South Africa
GlaxoSmithKline	5	South Africa
Hollard Community Development Trust	5	South Africa
Investec Bank	5	South Africa
Johnson & Johnson	5	Uganda
Mercedes-Benz	5	South Africa
Nedbank Group	5	South Africa
Nedcor Development Trust	5	South Africa
Nederberg Wine Auction	5	South Africa
Old Mutual Insurance Company	5	South Africa
Pfizer	5	South Africa, Uganda
Standard Bank Foundation	5	South Africa
Standard Chartered Bank	5	Sierra Leone
Friends Hospice of Uganda (Ireland)	6	Uganda

Directory: Africa (141 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Friends of Nyeri Hospice	6	Kenya
Friends of Swaziland Hospice	6	Swaziland
Friends of The Shepherd Hospice	6	Sierra Leone
Hospice Africa (UK)	6	Uganda
Hospice Care Kenya	6	Kenya
Nairobi Hospice Netherlands	6	Kenya
St Ann's Hospice, Manchester	6	South Africa
VITAS Healthcare	6	Kenya, Uganda
African Palliative Care Association	7	Various
Foundation for Hospices in Sub-Saharan Africa	7	Various
Help the Hospices	7	Congo, Sierra Leone, South Africa
International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC)	7	Various
National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO)	7	South Africa

Directory of donor organisations: CEE/ CIS

Directory: CEE/ CIS (157 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Name	Type	CEE/CIS countries
Commission of the Council of Europe (Belgium)	1	Albania
European Union	1	Albania, Belarus, Hungary, Latvia, Macedonia, Romania, Russia, Serbia Montenegro
Global Fund	1	Georgia, Moldova, Romania, Russia
United Nations	1	Romania
United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO)	1	Belarus
World Bank	1	Armenia, Bulgaria, Croatia, Kazakhstan, Latvia, Macedonia, Moldova, Poland, Serbia, Uzbekistan
Big Lottery (UK)	2	Romania
British Council	2	Poland
British Embassy	2	Hungary, Moldova, Romania
Canadian Embassy	2	Lithuania, Romania
Canadian International Development Agency	2	Romania
Department for International Development (DFID)	2	Moldova
Dutch Foreign Ministry	2	Romania
French Government	2	Serbia and Montenegro
German Embassy	2	Belarus
Know How Fund (Closed) now DIFID	2	Poland
Matra Foundation (Dutch Ministry of Foreign Affairs)	2	Bulgaria
Royal Netherlands Embassy	2	Moldova, Kyrgyzstan
UK Embassy	2	Belarus, Bosnia-Herzegovina
United States Agency for International Development (USAID)	2	Russia
Albert Schweitzer Institute	3	Hungary
Alma Foundation	3	Bulgaria
American Austrian Foundation	3	Lithuania, Moldova
American International Health Alliance	3	Armenia, Kyrgyzstan, Latvia, Russia, Slovakia, UK
Bagpuss (Oliver Postgate, Peter Firmin)	3	Romania
BEARR Trust	3	Russia
Bosnia Relief	3	Bosnia Herzegovina
Bristol-Myers Squibb	3	Hungary
Charity Know How	3	Moldova
Charles Dickens Heritage Foundation	3	Hungary
Comic Relief	3	Azerbaijan
Compassion Welfare Fund	3	Kyrgyzstan
Co-operating Netherlands Foundations for CEE	3	Croatia, Czech Republic, Romania
Counterpart (USA)	3	Belarus
Eroforras - United Way International	3	Hungary, Romania
Exeter Enterprise	3	Russia
GAM Care (Molo-Belgian Chamber of Commerce)	3	Moldova

Directory: CEE/ CIS (157 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
HealthProm	3	Russia
Help the Aged International	3	Kyrgyzstan, Moldova
Hilfswerk Austria	3	Belarus
Humanitarian-Charity Foundation (SOCO)	3	Georgia
International Medical Corps	3	Albania
International Research and Exchanges	3	Moldova
Janivo Foundation (Netherlands)	3	Moldova
Lions Fund	3	Croatia
Masaryk Cancer Foundation	3	Czech Republic
Medicines du Mondes	3	Albania
Medicins Sans Frontières	3	Georgia (Abkhazia) Albania, Ukraine
Memoria (Rehabilitation for torture victims)	3	Moldova
Moldova Filantropie	3	Moldova
Oameni Generosi	3	Moldova
Olga Havel Foundation	3	Czech Republic
Open Society Institute (OSI)	3	Various
OXFAM	3	Azerbaijan
Project Hope	3	Czech Republic
Renaissance Foundation	3	Ukraine
Rotary Club: Besancon	3	Moldova
Rotary Club: Colchester	3	Russia
Rotary Club: Coquitlam	3	Ukraine
Rotary Club: Cramlington	3	Belarus
Rotary Club: Frederick, Maryland	3	Russia
Rotary Club: Greensboro	3	Moldova
Rotary Club: North Cotswold	3	Russia
Rotary International: Berlin Institute	3	Russia
Save the Children	3	Armenia
Second Breath (Moldova)	3	Moldova
Smile Foundation	3	Romania
Stefan Batory Foundation	3	Poland
Sue Ryder Care International	3	Albania, Kosovo, Macedonia, Poland
Teodora Foundation	3	Belarus
VIA Foundation	3	Czech Republic
Voluntary Services Overseas	3	Russia
Alpha & Omega Society	4	Latvia
American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee	4	Bulgaria; Hungary
Armenian Apostolic Church	4	Armenia
Caritas	4	Various
Catholic Organisation for Relief and Development AID (Netherlands)	4	Georgia, Moldova
Children in Distress	4	Albania, ,Bosnia, Bulgaria, Romania
Christian Agency for Social Action	4	Romania
Convoy of Hope	4	Belarus, Bosnia-Herzegovina
Danish Missionary Council	4	Armenia
Evangelical Baptist Church	4	Moldova

Directory: CEE/ CIS (157 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Evangelical Lutheran Church in America	4	Kyrgyzstan, Lithuania
Federation of the Hungarian Jewish Communities	4	Hungary
Feed the Minds	4	Romania
Foundation of the Sisters of St Charles Bartholomew	4	Czech Republic
HAVVA	4	Azerbaijan
Hungarian Jewish Social Support Foundation	4	Hungary
Hungarian Maltese Charity	4	Hungary
Jewish Women's Organisation of Azerbaijan	4	Azerbaijan
Kammilian monks	4	Bulgaria
Knights of St Lazarus of Jerusalem	4	Czech Republic
Limmat Foundation	4	Albania
Maagdenuis Foundation	4	Lithuania
Russian Orthodox Church	4	Russia
Sisters of the Cross Passion	4	Bosnia-Herzegovina
Society of the Friends of the Sick	4	Poland
Something for Romania (Scotland)	4	Romania
St.Vincent de Paul Association	4	Slovakia
Swedish Lutheran Church	4	Latvia
Ukrainian Orthodox Church (USA)	4	Ukraine
Alpha Research	5	Bulgaria
American CareLift	5	Kyrgyzstan
Budapest Bank	5	Hungary
Crier media group	5	Romania
Devon Energy Corp	5	Azerbaijan
DHL	5	Romania
GlaxoSmithKline	5	Czech Republic, Romania
Inkombank	5	Russia
Janssen Cilag	5	Georgia, Poland, Romania
Microsoft	5	Czech Republic
Mundipharma	5	Bulgaria
Novartis	5	Romania
Penzoil Caspian Corporation	5	Azerbaijan
Siemens	5	Bosnia-Herzegovina
TransOceanic Caspian	5	Azerbaijan
Ulyanovsk Automobile Factory	5	Russia
Vodaphone	5	Romania
Volvo	5	Romania
Crossroads Hospice Society	6	Ukraine
Hospice Educational Institute Machiasport (USA)	6	Moldova
Hospices of Hope	6	Romania, Moldova, Serbia, Macedonia
Humanitarian Association of Hospice Friends	6	Croatia
Hungarian Hospice Foundation	6	Lithuania, Moldova
Kansas City Hospice	6	Kyrgyzstan
Malta Hospice Association	6	Albania
Palliative Care Resources and Training Centre (Poznan)	6	Poland, Moldova
Polish Hospices Fund	6	Poland

Directory: CEE/ CIS (157 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Sir Michael Sobell House	6	Hungary, Latvia, Poland
Sisterhood of St Elizabeth (Russia)	6	Russia
St Petersburg Healthcare Trust (UK)	6	Russia
St. Christopher's Hospice	6	Various
Stockholms Sjukhem Foundation	6	Moldova
Victor Zorza Hospice Trust	6	Russia, Belarus
Warsaw Hospice for Children	6	Hungary
Wisdom Hospice/ Kent Institute of Medical and Health Sciences	6	Croatia
American Cancer Society	7	Georgia
Association for Children's Palliative Care	7	Poland
Association Piere Clement Lorraine	7	Romania
Carolinas Center for Hospice and EOLC	7	Moldova
European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO)	7	Various
Fight Against Cancer Foundation	7	Bulgaria
Finnish Cancer Society	7	Estonia
Foundation Against Cancer	7	Hungary
Help the Hospices	7	Various
Humanists Union	7	Georgia
International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC)	7	Various
Irish Hospice Foundation	7	Moldova
Multinational Association for Supportive Care in Cancer (MASCC)	7	Moldova, Serbia, Montenegro
National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO)	7	Various
Avicena Pogradec	8	Albania
European School of Oncology	8	
Friends of Hope Society	8	Croatia
INSAN	8	Azerbaijan
International Women's Club	8	Croatia, Romania
James Paget Trust	8	Russia
North Carolina National Guard	8	Moldova

Directory of donor organisations: Latin America

Directory: Latin America (22 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Name	Type	Countries
Global Fund	1	Guatemala
Centers for Disease Control	2	Guyana
GTZ (German Aid)	2	Brazil
President's Emergency Program for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR)	2	Guyana, Haiti
USAID	2	Various
Association François-Xavier Bagnoud	3	Colombia
Corporativa de Fundaciones	3	Mexico
Fundacion De Lima Bohmer	3	Colombia
Medicins Sans Frontières	3	Guatemala
Christian Reformed World Relief Committee	4	Haiti
Knights of the Order of Malta	4	Argentina
Omega Foundation	4	Colombia
World Hope International	4	Guyana
Fundacion Banco Provincia	5	Argentina
Fundacion Femba	5	Argentina
Hewlett Packard	5	Mexico
Asociation Alivio Con Amor	7	Peru
Fundacion Mexicana de Medicina Paliativa	7	Mexico
Help the Hospices	7	Cuba
International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC)	7	Various
Fundacion Contigo	8	Argentina
Servicio Extremeño de Salud	8	Argentina

Directory of donor organisations: Middle East

Directory: Middle East (19 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Name	Type	Countries
Middle East Cancer Consortium (MECC)	1	Palestinian Authority
British Consulate in Jerusalem	2	Israel, Palestinian Authority
National Cancer Institute (USA)	2	Palestinian Authority
Andrea and Charles Bronfman Philanthropies	3	Israel, Palestinian Authority
Isobel and Alfred Bader Foundation	3	Israel, Palestinian Authority
King Faisal Foundation	3	Saudi Arabia
Philanthropic Societies	3	Jordan
American Jewish Joint Distribution Committee	4	Israel
Cairo Evangelical Medical Society	4	Egypt
Lutheran World Federation	4	Israel
Hikma Pharmaceuticals	5	Jordan
HMO Kupat Holim Clalit	5	Israel
Hospice of the National Capital Region (USA)	6	Jordan
St Diego Hospice: Centre for Palliative Care Studies	6	Jordan
General Union of Philanthropy Association	7	Jordan
Help the Hospices	7	Pal Auth
International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC)	7	Various
Israeli Cancer Society	7	Israel
Macmillan Cancer Relief (Jordan)	7	Jordan

Directory of donor organisations: CSE Asia

Directory: CSE Asia (76 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Name	Code	CSE Asia Countries
Global Fund	1	Cambodia
United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF)	1	Bangladesh
World Bank	1	Philippines, Bhutan, Nepal, Cambodia, Sri Lanka, Thailand, Bangladesh
Centers for Disease Control	2	Thailand
National Cancer Institute (USA)	2	India
President's Emergency Program for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR)	2	Vietnam, Cambodia
United States Agency for International Development (USAID)	2	Myanmar, Cambodia, Thailand
Aboitiz Foundation	3	Philippines
All Nippon Foundation	3	Japan
Association François-Xavier Bagnoud	3	India
Care and Share Foundation (US)	3	India
Cheng Kim Loke Foundation	3	Singapore
Cipla Cancer and Aids Foundation	3	India
Constella Group	3	Vietnam
Cooper Atkinson Trust	3	India
Dean Foundation	3	India
Direct Relief International	3	South Korea
Family Health International	3	Vietnam
Global Cancer Concern	3	India
Help the Aged International	3	India
Ian Fergusson Foundation	3	Singapore
Ingrid and Peter Kirk Fund (Canada)	3	India
Keswick Foundation	3	China
Lee Foundation	3	Singapore
Li Ka Shing Foundation	3	China
Lien Foundation	3	Singapore
Medicins Sans Frontières	3	China, Indonesia, Laos, Myanmar, Thailand
PACT	3	Vietnam
Paul Hamlyn Foundation	3	India
Red Cross	3	India
Rotary Club: Bangalore Indirinagar	3	India
Shaw Foundation	3	Singapore
Singapore International Foundation	3	Singapore
Skillshare International	3	India
U Hla Tung Hospice Foundation	3	Myanmar
WB Davis Charitable Trust	3	India
Winston Churchill Memorial Trust	3	India
British Methodist Church	4	India
Canossian Sisters	4	Singapore, Philippines
Catholic Church	4	Nepal

Directory: CSE Asia (76 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other		
Catholic Sanipax Socio-medical Service and Education Foundation	4	Taiwan
Catholic Welfare Services	4	Singapore
Council World Mission	4	India
Evangelical Lutheran Church in America	4	South Korea, Japan
Franciscan Missionaries of the Divine Motherhood	4	Singapore
Hope Worldwide	4	India
International Federation of Catholic Medical Associations	4	India
Manos Unidas (Spain)	4	India
Methodist Relief and Development Fund	4	India
Nordic Assistance to Vietnam	4	Vietnam
North Carolina Baptist Hospitals	4	India
Society of St Vincent de Paulo (Netherlands)	4	India
Swiss Sisters of the Holy Cross	4	India
British Gas India	5	India
Castrol	5	India
GlaxoSmithKline	5	India
Group 4 Securities	5	India
Hoechst	5	India
House of Tatas	5	India
Indian Hotel Group	5	India
Morgan Stanley	5	India
Pfizer	5	India
Proctor and Gamble	5	India
Rolls Royce India	5	India
Searle	5	India
Buddhist Lotus Hospice Care Foundation	6	Taiwan
Cancer Relief India	6	India
Japan Hospice Palliative Care Foundation	6	Japan
Oxford International Centre for Palliative Care	6	China, India
St. Columbia's Fellowship	6	India
American Cancer Society	7	India
Help the Hospices	7	China, India Mal; Phil; Viet; Thai; Sri L;
International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC)	7	Various
International Network for Cancer Treatment and Research	7	Nepal
National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO)	7	India, Taiwan
North South Development Trust	8	India

Directory of donor organisations: India

Directory: India (43 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other	
Name	Code
National Cancer Institute (USA)	2
Association François-Xavier Bagnoud	3
Care and Share Foundation (US)	3
Cipla Cancer and Aids Foundation	3
Cooper Atkinson Trust	3
Dean Foundation	3
Global Cancer Concern	3
Help the Aged International	3
Ingrid and Peter Kirk Fund (Canada)	3
Paul Hamlyn Foundation	3
Red Cross	3
Rotary Club: Bangalore Indirinagar	3
Skillshare International	3
WB Davis Charitable Trust	3
Winston Churchill Memorial Trust	3
British Methodist Church	4
Council World Mission	4
Hope Worldwide	4
International Federation of Catholic Medical Associations	4
Manos Unidas (Spain)	4
Methodist Relief and Development Fund	4
North Carolina Baptist Hospitals	4
Society of St Vincent de Paulo (Netherlands)	4
Swiss Sisters of the Holy Cross	4
British Gas India	5
Castrol	5
GlaxoSmithKline	5
Group 4 Securities	5
Hoechst	5
House of Tatas	5
Indian Hotel Group	5
Morgan Stanley	5
Pfizer	5
Proctor and Gamble	5
Rolls Royce India	5
Searle	5
Cancer Relief India	6
St. Columbia's Fellowship	6
American Cancer Society	7
Help the Hospices	7
International Association of Hospice and Palliative Care (IAHPC)	7
National Hospice and Palliative Care Organization (NHPCO)	7
North South Development Trust	8

Directory of donor organisations: China

Directory: China (5 donors). Types: 1=Multilateral; 2=Bilateral; 3=Humanitarian; 4=Faith-based; 5=Business; 6=Hospice support; 7=Inter/ national association; 8=Other	
Name	Type
Keswick Foundation	3
Li Ka Shing Foundation	3
Medicins Sans Frontières	3
Oxford International Centre for Palliative Care	6
Help the Hospices	7

References

- ¹ Stjernsward, J., Foley, K., and Ferris, F. (2007) The public health strategy for palliative care. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management* 33(5) 486-493.
- ² Wenk, R. and Bertolino, M. (2002) Developing countries: Palliative care status. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 24(2): 166-169
- ³<http://www.worldbank.org>. Accessed November 2007.
- ⁴<http://www.worldbank.org.af/external/default/main?pagePK=64027221&piPK=64027220&theSitePK=305985&menuPK=306022&Projectid=P073065> Accessed November 2007.
- ⁵<http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/NEWS/0,,contentMDK:20035448~menuPK:34495~pagePK:34370~piPK:34424~theSitePK:4607,00.html> Accessed November 2007.
- ⁶<http://web.worldbank.org/WBSITE/EXTERNAL/COUNTRIES/ECAEXT/SLOVAKIAEXTN/0,,contentMDK:20158059~pagePK:141137~piPK:141127~theSitePK:305117,00.html> Accessed November 2007.
- ⁷<http://lnweb18.worldbank.org/eca/eca.nsf/0/88bfd6c4e0e79a1385256d410077e62d?OpenDocument> Accessed November 2007.
- ⁸ www.worldbank.org Accessed November 2007.
- ⁹ <http://www.theglobalfund.org> Accessed November 2007.
- ¹⁰ For example: http://www.theglobalfund.org/search/docs/3SAFH_723_277_gpr.pdf Accessed 14 February 2008.
- ¹¹ <http://www.dci.gov.ie/> Accessed November 2007.
- ¹² <http://www.pepfar.gov/> Accessed November 2007.
- ¹³ <http://www.govtrack.us/congress/bill.xpd?bill=h110-5501> Accessed April 2008.
- ¹⁴ http://www.hepatitisandhiv.com/recent/2008/040808_e.html Accessed April 2008.
- ¹⁵ www.soros.org Accessed November 2007.
- ¹⁶ Callaway, M., Foley, K. M., De Lima, L., *et al.*, 2007. Funding for Palliative Care Programs in Developing Countries. *Journal of Pain and Symptom Management*, 33(5): 509-513.
- ¹⁷ Kiera Hepford: personal communication – 2 April 2008.

-
- ¹⁸ <http://www.theworkcontinues.org> Accessed November 2007.
- ¹⁹ The Diana Fund's £5 million palliative care initiative. *Hospice Information Bulletin* 02 2002 1(1): 10.
- ²⁰ Olivia Dix: personal communication – 5 February 2008.
- ²¹ <http://www.lienfoundation.org/deathlysilence.htm> Accessed November 2007.
- ²² Lee Poh Wah: personal communication – 25 January 2008.
- ²³ <http://www.lksf.org/eng/project/medical/hospice/main01.shtml> Accessed November 2007.
- ²⁴ <http://www.jdc.org/> Accessed November 2007.
- ²⁵ <http://www.crs.org/> Accessed November 2007.
- ²⁶ Called to Compassion and Responsibility 1989: 25. Available from: http://209.85.135.104/custom?q=cache:VVy8J4GgXtQJ:crs.org/publications/pdf/HIV1289_e.pdf+hospice+care&hl=en&ct=clnk&cd=3&client=google-csbe Accessed 2 November 2007.
- ²⁷ <http://www.anglogold.com/default.htm> Accessed November 2007.
- ²⁸ James Steele: personal communication – 31 January 2008.
- ²⁹ <http://www.hospicecarekenya.com/> Accessed November 2007.
- ³⁰ Graham Perolls: personal communication – 25 January 2008.
- ³¹ <http://www.helpthehospices.org.uk/> Accessed November 2007.
- ³² Nick Pahl: personal communication – 31 January 2008.
- ³³ <http://www.fhssa.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=1;>
- ³⁴ <http://www.nationalhospicefoundation.org/i4a/pages/Index.cfm?pageID=83>
- ³⁵ Von Gunten, C. (2007) Editorial: Humpty-Dumpty syndrome. *Palliative Medicine*, 21: 461-462.
- ³⁶ Randall, F. and Downie, R. S. (2006) *The philosophy of Palliative Care: Critique and Reconstruction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

³⁷ *Engendering Bold Leadership*. The President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief. First Annual Report to Congress, 2005: 49.
<http://www.state.gov/documents/organization/43885.pdf> Accessed November 2007.

³⁸ Liliana de Lima: personal communication – 31 October 2007.

³⁹ Smith, A. and Zhu, D. Z. (1997) Hospice development in China. In: C. Saunders and R. Kastenbaum (eds) *Hospice Care on the International Scene*. New York: Springer.